

Appl. No. 10/510,164
Reply to Office Action of: July 13, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 12680-003

II. Remarks

Examination of this application, in view of this response to the Requirement for Restriction, is herein respectfully requested. After entering this amendment, claims 1-31 remain pending, with claims 1-28 under consideration and claims 29-31 withdrawn from consideration.

Restriction Requirement

In the Office Action, restriction was indicated as being required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to one of the following inventions:

- Group I: Claims 1-28, drawn to a device and method for transcutaneous pressure waveform sensing of an artery;
and
- Group II: Claims 29-31, drawn to a target apparatus for use with a transcutaneous pressure waveform sensing device.

The examiner thus indicated that the inventions of Group I and II do not relate to a single, general, inventive concept since they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

In response to the Requirement for Restriction, Applicant herein elects, without traverse, the invention of Group I, claims 1-28.

Conclusion

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn and that the present form of the claims are

BRINKE
HOFER
GILSON
ALIONE

- 7 -

Appl. No. 10/510,164
Reply to Office Action of: July 13, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 12680-003

patentably distinguishable over the art of record. Allowance of this application
is therefore requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric J. Sosenko
Reg. No. 34,448
Attorney for Applicant(s)
(734) 302-6000

Attachments: None